

Mr Gohlan conversation & Signatures

From: **Martin Glasgow** (martinglasgow120@hotmail.com)
Sent: 08 April 2013 11:03:32
To: Clint Ambrose (clint@mackesyscrime.co.uk)
1 attachment
260710 Defence Case Statement of Amandip Singh Johal.pdf (2.3 MB)

Hi Mr Ambrose

I have tried to speak to you this morning at 10am on the Monday 08th April 2013 as you requested I telephone you at that time (for me to have a conversation with Mr Gohlan) during our previous conversation. On doing so, I was told that you are on annual leave and that you had taken three days off and would not be available until Thursday.

Therefore, I will endeavour to contact you on Thursday, but in the meantime you may recall that I had taken civil litigation against the police. I had also done the same with Amandip Singh Johal or whoever he may be.

In his defence, two defence case statements were created and dated 26th July 2010 and 08th December 2010, both are dated and contain the signature of the person that had produced them.

There is no correlation between the signature contained throughout the witness statement made 05th June 2006 of Amandip Sing Johal (of which you have a copy) and the signature at the end of the defence case statement dated 26th July 2010 of which I have attached a copy to this e-mail.

Compare the signatures and you will find that the two signatures are completely different to each other to the degree that it is clear that two different people have signed the two different documents making I do believe the witness statement of 05th June 2006 fraudulent having been signed by a police officer.

Would you like to know the police officers name?

Kind regards.

Martin Glasgow